

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,144		09/20/2000	Vaijayanti A. Kumar	273944 5793	
26694	7590	10/24/2005		EXAMINER	
VENABLE LLP				ANGELL, JON E	
P.O. BOX 3	4385				
WASHING	TON, DC	20045-9998	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1635	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/666,144	KUMAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jon Eric Angell	1635		

	John Eric Angen	1000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or	•		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14-16,19,20,24 and 25.		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 17,18 and 21-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-12.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of films - N	adian of Americal will may	. h
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
I3. ☐ Other;	1	o 0	
	Anne-marie 2 al	le Fried "	
	ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D	Jon Eric Angell	/

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment filed 9/29/05 would raise new issues that require further consideration and further search. Specifically, the proposed amendment to claims 22 and 23 would change the claims from a process for sequence specific recognition of a single or double stranded DNA or RNA by oligomers as in claims 14/15 using compounds of formulae 4a and 6a according to claim 7, to a process for sequence specific recognition of a single or double stranded DNA or RNA compound according to claims 14/15 using compounds of formulae 4a and 6a. As such, the amendment would change the method from a method for sequence specific recognition of DNA or RNA molecule using the oligomers of claims 14/15 to a method sequence specific recognition of the oligomers of claims 14/15. This change would chage the nature of the claim such that new considerations and searching would be required for the new embodiments of claims 22 and 23. Furthermore, it is respectively pointed out that the new limitations would necessitate consideration of new rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, as there does not appeat to be proper antecedent basis for "single and double stranded DNA or RNA compounds according to claims 14 and 15" as claims 14 and 15 do not encompass single or double stranded DNA/RNA. Additionally, the proposed amended claims 22 and 23 appear to be missing essential method steps. Specifically, claims 14 and 15 do not appear to set forth the steps indicating how the compounds of formulae 4a and 6a are used in the method. Since the proposed amendment would require further search and considerations, the amendment has not been entered, and the claims remain rejected for the reasons indicated in the Office Action mailed 6/29/2005.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are in view the proposed amendment to the claims, which has not been entered for the reasons indicated herein. Since the amendment as not been entered, Applicants arguments are not persuasive.